

MA210

Solutions to Exercises 10

(1) Let C be the linear code of length n with check matrix

$$H = [\underbrace{1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1}_n].$$

Show that C is the parity check code (defined in lectures).

Solution. To find all codewords $\bar{x} = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$ in C , we must solve the equation

$$H\bar{x} = \bar{0},$$

where

$$\bar{x}' = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

In our case, we obtain

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 0,$$

where the addition is modulo 2, that is, in $(\mathbb{Z}_2, +)$. Thus we obtain that

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1} = x_n,$$

so x_n counts (modulo 2) the number of 1's among $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$, where $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}$ are arbitrary. This is, however, the definition of the parity check code. $\square$

(2) Let C be the d -repetition code of length n . Show that C is a linear code.

Solution. We have $n = \ell d$ for some natural number ℓ . Each word of C is formed by concatenation of ℓ copies of the same word of length d . Thus, if $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in C$, then

$$\bar{x} = \underbrace{\bar{u}\bar{u} \dots \bar{u}}_{\ell} \text{ and } \bar{y} = \underbrace{\bar{v}\bar{v} \dots \bar{v}}_{\ell}.$$

However, then (we add two words by adding the corresponding bits of both words)

$$\bar{x} + \bar{y} = \underbrace{\bar{w}\bar{w} \dots \bar{w}}_{\ell},$$

where $\bar{w} = \bar{u} + \bar{v}$ is also a word of length d . So, $\bar{x} + \bar{y}$ is also a word from C and the code is linear. $\square$

(3) (a) Let C be the linear code with check matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Determine the length n of C , the dimension k of C , the minimum distance d of C . (We then refer to C as an $[n, k, d]$ -code.)

(b) The following words were received:

$$11111, \quad 01101, \quad 01100.$$

Decide which of the above are codewords, and correct those which are not codewords, assuming that only one error has been made.

Solution. The length n of C is simply the number of columns of H , i.e., $n = 5$. This is because to find all codewords $\bar{x} = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$ in C , we must solve the equation $H\bar{x} = \bar{0}$, so $\bar{x}$ must have as many entries as H has columns.

From $H\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ we also obtain that

$$x_2 + x_3 = 0,$$

$$x_1 + x_4 = 0,$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_5 = 0,$$

that is,

$$x_2 = x_3,$$

$$x_1 = x_4,$$

$$x_1 + x_2 = x_5.$$

Hence, the choice of x_1 and x_2 uniquely determines x_3, x_4, x_5 and, consequently, the whole codeword $\bar{x}$. There are 2^2 choices for x_1 and x_2 , so the dimension of C is 2. (We know that every linear code must have 2^k codewords and we call k the dimension of C .)

By the theorem from the lecture, to determine the minimum distance in a linear code, we must find the smallest weight of a codeword not equal to $\bar{0}$. In our case, there are three non-zero codewords: 10011, 01101, and 11110. So, the minimum distance $d = 3$.

For

$$\bar{x} = 11111, \quad \bar{y} = 01101, \quad \bar{z} = 01100,$$

we have

$$H\bar{x}' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H\bar{y}' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H\bar{z}' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence 01101 is a codeword and 11111, 01100 are not. Since $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ corresponds to the last column of H and no two columns of H are the same, we know that the error occurred at the last bit. (Again, we used a theorem from the lectures.) Thus, we decode 11111 as 11110 and 01100 as 01101. $\square$

(4) Let C be the linear code with check matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

If the word 110110 is received, and at most one error has been made, what was the intended codeword?

Solution. For $\bar{x} = 110110$, we have

$$H\bar{x}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

so, $\bar{x}$ is not a codeword. By the theorem from the lectures, since no column of H is repeated and at most one error has been made, we need to change the bit corresponding to a column

that equals to $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This is the second column of H , so we must change the second bit of $\bar{x}$. Thus, we decode 110110 as 100110. $\square$

(5) (a) Let C be a code of length n . Suppose that C is 1-error-correcting. Prove that

$$|C| \leq \frac{2^n}{n+1}.$$

(b) Show there is no 1-error-correcting code of length 5 with $|C| = 6$.

Solution. For a word $\bar{x} \in C$, let $N_1(\bar{x})$ be the set of all possible words $\bar{y}$ with (Hamming) distance at most 1 from $\bar{x}$. For example, if $n = 4$, $\bar{x} = 1101$, then $N_1(\bar{x}) = \{1101, 0101, 1001, 1111, 1100\}$.

We make the following two observations:

(a) for every codeword $\bar{x} \in C$, we have $|N_1(\bar{x})| = n + 1$,

(b) for every two different codewords $\bar{x}, \bar{z} \in C$, we have $N_1(\bar{x}) \cap N_1(\bar{z}) = \emptyset$.

To see (a), we just realize that $\bar{x}$ is at distance 0 from itself and that there are n words at distance 1 from $\bar{x}$, each of them obtained by changing exactly one bit from n possible.

Part (b) follows from the fact that C is one-error-correcting, i.e., no possible word of length n can be within distance one from two codewords (otherwise, we couldn't decode such a word and the code would not be one-error-correcting).

So, what happens if we take the union of $N_1(\bar{x})$ over all codewords $\bar{x} \in C$?

Let $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_{|C|}$ be all the codewords in C . It follows from (b) and (a) that the size of the union $N_1(\bar{x}_1) \cup N_1(\bar{x}_2) \cup \dots \cup N_1(\bar{x}_{|C|})$ is $|N_1(\bar{x}_1)| + |N_1(\bar{x}_2)| + \dots + |N_1(\bar{x}_{|C|})| = |C|(n+1)$ because these sets are pairwise disjoint. On the other hand, the number of words in the union cannot be bigger than the total number of words of length n which is 2^n . Hence, $|C|(n+1) \leq 2^n$ must hold.

If there was an 1-error-correcting code of length 5 with $|C| = 6$, then $36 = 6(5+1) \leq 2^5 = 32$ would have to hold, but this is not possible. $\square$